



# Linguistically-Enriched Models for Bulgarian-to-English Machine Translation

Rui Wang

DFKI GmbH, Germany

(collaboration with Petya Osenova  
and Kiril Simov)



# In a Nutshell

- Bulgarian → English
- Factored SMT models to incorporate linguistic knowledge
- Question-based manual evaluation



# Motivation

- Incorporating linguistic knowledge into statistical models, same for MT
- Different strategies
  - Post-editing
  - System combination



# Example

- (1) Momcheto j go dava buketa na  
Boy-the her-dat it-acc gives bouquet-the to  
momicheto.  
girl-the.

*The boy gives the bouquet to the girl.*

- (2) Momcheto j go dava.  
Boy-the her-dat it-acc gives.

*The boy gives it to her.*



# Our Strategy

- Good baseline result (38.61 BLEU by Moses)
- Various linguistic knowledge from preprocessing
  - Morphological analysis, lemmatization, POS tagging
  - (CoNLL) Syntactic dependency tree
  - (R)MRS
- ‘Supertagging’-style



## Related Work

- Birch et al. (2007) and Hassan et al. (2007)
  - Supertags on English side
- Singh and Bandyopadhyay (2010)
  - Manipuri-English bidirectional translation
- Bond et al. (2005), Oepen et al. (2007), Graham and van Genabith (2008), and Graham et al. (2009)
  - Transfer-based MT



# Preprocessing

- POS Tagging – 97.98% accuracy
- Lemmatization – 95.23 % accuracy
- Dependency Parsing – 87.6 % labeled parsing accuracy



# Factored Model

- Koehn and Hoang, 2007
  - Easily incorporate linguistic features at the token level
  - Similar to ‘supertags’
- WF, Lemma, POS, Ling
- DepRel, HLemma, HPOS
- EP, EoV, ARGnEP, ARGnPOS





# Example

- *Spored odita v elektricheskite kompanii politicite zloupotrebyavat s dyrzhavnite predpriyatiya.*
- *Electricity audits prove politicians abusing public companies.*



# Factors

| No | WF              | Lemma           | POS | Ling  | DepRel   | HLemma          | HPOS |
|----|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-------|----------|-----------------|------|
| 1  | spored          | spored          | R   | -     | adjunct  | zloupotrebyavam | VP   |
| 2  | odita           | odit            | Nc  | npd   | prepcomp | spored          | R    |
| 3  | v               | v               | R   | -     | mod      | odit            | Nc   |
| 4  | elektricheskite | elektricheski   | A   | pd    | mod      | kompaniya       | Nc   |
| 5  | kompanii        | kompaniya       | Nc  | fpi   | prepcomp | v               | R    |
| 6  | politicite      | politik         | Nc  | mpd   | subj     | zloupotrebyavam | Vp   |
| 7  | zloupotrebyavat | zloupotrebyavam | Vp  | tir3p | root     | -               | -    |
| 8  | s               | s               | R   | -     | indobj   | zloupotrebyavam | Vp   |
| 9  | dyrzhavnite     | dyrzhaven       | A   | pd    | mod      | predpriyatie    | Nc   |
| 10 | predpriyatiya   | predpriyatie    | Nc  | npi   | prepcomp | s               | R    |

## Factors (cont.)

| No | EP                | EoV | EP <sub>1</sub> /POS <sub>1</sub> | EP <sub>2</sub> /POS <sub>2</sub> | EP <sub>3</sub> /POS <sub>3</sub> |
|----|-------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1  | spored_r          | e   | zloupotrebyavam_v/Vp              | odit_n/Nc                         | -                                 |
| 2  | odit_n            | v   | -                                 | -                                 | -                                 |
| 3  | v_r               | e   | odit_n/Nc                         | kompaniya_n/Nc                    | -                                 |
| 4  | elekticheski_a    | e   | kompaniya_n/Nc                    | -                                 | -                                 |
| 5  | kompaniya_n       | v   | -                                 | -                                 | -                                 |
| 6  | politik_n         | v   | -                                 | -                                 | -                                 |
| 7  | zloupotrebyavam_v | e   | politik_n/Nc                      | -                                 | s_r/R                             |
| 8  | s_r               | e   | zloupotrebyavam_v/Vp              | predpriyatie_n/Nc                 | -                                 |
| 9  | dyrzhaven_a       | e   | predpriyatie_n/Nc                 | -                                 | -                                 |
| 10 | predpriyatie_n    | v   | -                                 | -                                 | -                                 |

## (Fallback) Rules for RMRS

- $\langle \text{Lemma, MSTag} \rangle \rightarrow \text{EP-RMRS}$ 
  - The rules of this type produce an RMRS including an elementary predicate
  
- $\langle \text{DRMRS, Rel, HRMRS} \rangle \rightarrow \text{HRMRS}'$ 
  - The rules of this type unite the RMRS constructed for a dependent node (DRMRS) into the current RMRS for a head node (HRMRS)



# Experiments

- GIZA++ (Och and Ney, 2003)
- A tri-gram language model is estimated using the SRILM toolkit (Stolcke, 2002)
- Minimum error rate training (MERT) (Och, 2003) is applied to tune the weights for the set of feature weights that maximizes the BLEU score on the development set



# Corpora

- Train/Dev/Test
- SETIMES
  - 150,000(100,000)/500/1,000
- EMEA
  - 700,000/500/1,000
- JRC-Acquis
  - 0/0/4,107



# Results

| Corpora              | Test  | Dev   | Final | Drop  |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| SETIMES → SETIMES    | 34.69 | 37.82 | 36.49 | /     |
| EMEA → EMEA          | 51.75 | 54.77 | 51.62 | /     |
| SETIMES → EMEA       | 13.37 | /     | /     | 61.5% |
| SETIMES → JRC-Acquis | 7.19  | /     | /     | 79.3% |
| EMEA → SETIMES       | 7.37  | /     | /     | 85.8% |
| EMEA → JRC-Acquis    | 9.21  | /     | /     | 82.2% |



# Results (cont.)

| ID | Model                             | BLEU         | 1-gram      | 2-gram      | 3-gram      | 4-gram      | METEOR        |
|----|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| 1  | WF (Baseline)                     | 38.61        | <b>69.9</b> | 44.6        | 31.5        | 22.7        | 0.3816        |
| 2  | WF, POS                           | <b>38.85</b> | <b>69.9</b> | <b>44.8</b> | <b>31.7</b> | <b>23.0</b> | 0.3812        |
| 3  | WF, LEMMA, POS, LING              | 38.84        | <b>69.9</b> | 44.7        | <b>31.7</b> | <b>23.0</b> | 0.3803        |
| 4  | LEMMA                             | 37.22        | 68.8        | 43.0        | 30.1        | 21.5        | <b>0.3817</b> |
| 5  | LEMMA, POS                        | 37.49        | 68.9        | 43.2        | 30.4        | 21.8        | 0.3812        |
| 6  | LEMMA, POS, LING                  | 38.70        | 69.7        | 44.6        | 31.6        | 22.8        | 0.3800        |
| 7  | WF, DEPREL                        | 36.87        | 68.4        | 42.8        | 29.9        | 21.1        | 0.3627        |
| 8  | WF, DEPREL, HPOS                  | 36.21        | 67.6        | 42.1        | 29.3        | 20.7        | 0.3524        |
| 9  | WF, LEMMA, POS, LING, DEPREL      | 36.97        | 68.2        | 42.9        | 30.0        | 21.3        | 0.3610        |
| 10 | WF, POS, EP                       | 38.74        | 69.8        | 44.6        | 31.6        | 22.9        | 0.3807        |
| 11 | WF, EP, EoV                       | 38.74        | 69.8        | 44.6        | 31.6        | 22.9        | 0.3807        |
| 12 | WF, POS, LING, EP, EoV            | 38.76        | 69.8        | 44.6        | <b>31.7</b> | 22.9        | 0.3802        |
| 13 | EP, EoV                           | 37.22        | 68.5        | 42.9        | 30.2        | 21.6        | 0.3711        |
| 14 | EP, EoV, LING                     | 38.38        | 69.3        | 44.2        | 31.3        | 22.7        | 0.3691        |
| 15 | EP, EoV, ARG <sub>n</sub> POS     | 36.21        | 67.4        | 41.9        | 29.2        | 20.9        | 0.3577        |
| 16 | WF, EP, EoV, ARG <sub>n</sub> POS | 37.37        | 68.4        | 43.2        | 30.3        | 21.8        | 0.3641        |



# Manual Evaluation

- Motivation
  - BLEU score in high range is not differentiable
  - Impacts from various linguistic knowledge
- Evaluation metrics
  - Grammaticality
  - Content



# Results

| ID | Model                             | Grammaticality |    |    |           |           |             | Content   |    |    |           |           |             | Final       |
|----|-----------------------------------|----------------|----|----|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----|----|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
|    |                                   | 1              | 2  | 3  | 4         | 5         | Sum         | 1         | 2  | 3  | 4         | 5         | Sum         |             |
| 1  | WF (Baseline)                     | 20             | 47 | 5  | 32        | <b>46</b> | 3.25        | 20        | 46 | 5  | 23        | 56        | 3.33        | 3.29        |
| 2  | WF, POS                           | 20             | 48 | 5  | 37        | 40        | 3.19        | 20        | 48 | 5  | 24        | 53        | 3.28        | 3.24        |
| 3  | WF, LEMMA, POS, LING              | 20             | 47 | 6  | 34        | 43        | 3.22        | 20        | 47 | 1  | 24        | 58        | 3.35        | 3.29        |
| 4  | LEMMA                             | <b>15</b>      | 34 | 11 | 46        | 44        | <b>3.47</b> | 15        | 32 | 5  | <b>33</b> | <b>65</b> | <b>3.67</b> | <b>3.57</b> |
| 5  | LEMMA, POS                        | <b>15</b>      | 38 | 12 | <b>51</b> | 34        | <b>3.34</b> | 15        | 35 | 9  | 32        | 59        | <b>3.57</b> | <b>3.45</b> |
| 6  | LEMMA, POS, LING                  | 20             | 48 | 5  | 34        | 43        | 3.21        | 20        | 48 | 5  | 22        | 55        | 3.29        | 3.25        |
| 7  | WF, DEPREL                        | 32             | 48 | 3  | 29        | 38        | 2.95        | 32        | 49 | 4  | 14        | 51        | 3.02        | 2.99        |
| 8  | WF, DEPREL, HPOS                  | 45             | 41 | 7  | 23        | 34        | 2.73        | 45        | 41 | 2  | 21        | 41        | 2.81        | 2.77        |
| 9  | WF, LEMMA, POS, LING, DEPREL      | 34             | 47 | 5  | 30        | 34        | 2.89        | 34        | 48 | 3  | 20        | 45        | 2.96        | 2.92        |
| 10 | WF, POS, EP                       | 19             | 49 | 4  | 34        | 44        | 3.23        | 19        | 49 | 3  | 20        | 59        | 3.34        | 3.29        |
| 11 | WF, EP, EoV                       | 20             | 49 | 2  | 41        | 38        | 3.19        | 19        | 50 | 4  | 16        | 61        | 3.33        | 3.26        |
| 12 | WF, POS, LING, EP, EoV            | 19             | 49 | 5  | 37        | 40        | 3.20        | 19        | 50 | 3  | 24        | 54        | 3.29        | 3.25        |
| 13 | EP, EoV                           | <b>15</b>      | 41 | 10 | 44        | 40        | <b>3.35</b> | <b>14</b> | 38 | 7  | 31        | 60        | <b>3.57</b> | <b>3.46</b> |
| 14 | EP, EoV, LING                     | 20             | 49 | 7  | 38        | 36        | 3.14        | 19        | 49 | 7  | 20        | 55        | 3.29        | 3.21        |
| 15 | EP, EoV, ARG <sub>n</sub> POS     | 23             | 49 | 9  | 34        | 35        | 3.06        | 23        | 47 | 8  | <b>33</b> | 39        | 3.12        | 3.09        |
| 16 | WF, EP, EoV, ARG <sub>n</sub> POS | 34             | 47 | 10 | 30        | 29        | 2.82        | 34        | 47 | 10 | 20        | 39        | 2.89        | 2.85        |
| *  | GOOGLE                            | 0              | 2  | 20 | 52        | 76        | 4.35        | 1         | 0  | 9  | 42        | 98        | 4.57        | 4.46        |
| *  | REFERENCE                         | 0              | 0  | 5  | 51        | 94        | 4.59        | 1         | 0  | 5  | 37        | 107       | 4.66        | 4.63        |

# Question-Based Evaluation

- Either like it or dislike it
- A set of questions based on dependency relations
- Answers to judge
- Similar to PETE (Yuret et al., 2010)

| ID | Model                             | Score      |
|----|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 1  | WF (Baseline)                     | 127        |
| 2  | WF, POS                           | 126        |
| 3  | WF, LEMMA, POS, LING              | 131        |
| 4  | LEMMA                             | <b>133</b> |
| 5  | LEMMA, POS                        | <b>133</b> |
| 6  | LEMMA, POS, LING                  | 128        |
| 7  | WF, DEPREL                        | 131        |
| 8  | WF, DEPREL, HPOS                  | 120        |
| 9  | WF, LEMMA, POS, LING, DEPREL      | 124        |
| 10 | WF, POS, EP                       | 125        |
| 11 | WF, EP, EoV                       | 126        |
| 12 | WF, POS, LING, EP, EoV            | 128        |
| 13 | EP, EoV                           | <b>138</b> |
| 14 | EP, EoV, LING                     | 122        |
| 15 | EP, EoV, ARG <sub>n</sub> POS     | 130        |
| 16 | WF, EP, EoV, ARG <sub>n</sub> POS | 121        |



# Some Issues

- Morphology
  - Somehow handled by the factored model
- Semantic empty words
  - Difficult for word alignment
- Reordering
  - Difficult without structural information



# Acknowledgements

- EuroMatrixPlus (IST-231720)
- Tania Avgustinova for fruitful discussions and her helpful linguistic analysis
- Laska Laskova, Stanislava Kancheva and Ivaylo Radev for doing the human evaluation of the data



# Thank YOU!

Questions?



# Manual Evaluation - Grammaticality

1. The translation is not understandable.
2. The evaluator can somehow guess the meaning, but cannot fully understand the whole text.
3. The translation is understandable, but with some efforts.
4. The translation is quite fluent with some minor mistakes or re-ordering of the words.
5. The translation is perfectly readable and grammatical.



# Manual Evaluation - Content

1. The translation is totally different from the reference.
2. About 20% of the content is translated, missing the major content/topic.
3. About 50% of the content is translated, with some missing parts.
4. About 80% of the content is translated, missing only minor things.
5. All the content is translated.

