Discussion: TDL formalism potential extensions and new applications

DELPH-IN Summit 2013 Sankt Wendel

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Overview

 TDL formalism is our common language, partially defines what we are and what we do

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 Implemented in and interpreted by the processing systems: LKB, PET, ACE, AGREE, ...

The DELPH-IN reference formalism

- A trimmed down version of TDL in comparison to e.g. (Krieger 1995)
- Clean, easy to implement, a good trade-off between expressiveness and computability
- No value disjunction: encourage generalization in the type inheritance hierarchy, or duplication/enumeration as separate instances (DNF)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 Makes certain implicit assumptions of the processing models

APPENDIX A. EBNF SYNTAX OF TDC

A.1 TDL Main Constructors

program - begin : control. [gen-dd] [antano.dd] [der] ! ed : control.] begin : doctars. [dorber] head ! bedin.cont begin : doctars. [dorber] bedin ! bedin : doctars.] begin : doctars. [dorber] ed : doctars. doctars.] begin : listence. [finternol.contro

A.2 Type Definitions

```
type-def \rightarrow type { asm-def | subtype-def } .
            type \rightarrow identifier
        as def \rightarrow := body \{, option\}^* |
                     != nonmonotonic [ where ( constraint {, constraint)* ) ] {, option}*
            body \rightarrow disjunction [--> list ] [ where ( constraint {, constraint}* ) ]
     distunction \rightarrow conjunction { { | | ^} conjunction }*
    conjunction \rightarrow term { k term }*
            term \rightarrow type | atom | feature-term | diff-list | list | coreference |
                     distributed-disj | templ-par | templ-call | "term | ( disjunction )
           atom \rightarrow string \mid integer \mid 'identifier
   feature-term \rightarrow [[attr-val {, attr-val}^*]]
         attr-val → attribute [: restriction] {. attribute [: restriction] [ disjunction ]}*
       attribute \rightarrow identifier \mid templ-par
     restriction → conj-restriction { {| | `} conj-restriction }*
conj-restriction → basic-restriction { & basic-restriction }*
basic-restriction → type | "basic-restriction | templ-par | ( restriction )
         diff-list \rightarrow <1 [ disjunction {, disjunction}* ] (> [ : type ]
             list → ⇔ | < nonempty-list > [ list-restriction ]
 nonempty-list \rightarrow [ disjunction {, disjunction}*, ] ... |
                    disjunction {, disjunction}* [ . disjunction ]
 list-restriction \rightarrow: (restriction) |: type [: (integer, integer) |: integer]
    coreference \rightarrow #coref-name | *#( coref-name {, coref-name}*)
     coref-name → identifier | integer
distributed-disj → %disj-name ( disjunction {, disjunction}+ )
```

A.3. INSTANCE DEFINITIONS

disi-name → identifier | intoser templ-call → @templ-name ([templ-par {, templ-par}*]) $templ-name \rightarrow identifier$ $templ-par \rightarrow \$templ-war [= disjunction]$ $templ-var \rightarrow identifier \mid integer$ constraint -> #coref-name = { function-call | disjunction } function-call \rightarrow function-name (disjunction {, disjunction}*) function-name \rightarrow identifier nonmonotonic → type & [overwrite-path {, overwrite-path}*] $an erwrite-nath \rightarrow identifier \{ , identifier \}^* distanction$ subtune-def \rightarrow { :< tune }+ {, option}* option -> status: identifier | author: string | date: string | doc: string | expand-control: expand-control expand-control → (| (:expand { ({tape | (tape [index [pred]])} {path}+) }*) | (:expand-only { ({type | (type [index [pred]]) } {puth}+) }*)] | [(:delay { ({tupe | (tape [pred]) } {path} +) }*)] | [(:maxdepth integer)]] [(:ask-disi-preference {t | nil})]] [(:attribute-preference {identifier}*)]] [(:use-conj-heuristics {t | nil})]] [(:use-disj-heuristics {t | nil})]] [(:expand-function {depth | types} -first-expand)]] [(:resolved-predicate {resolved-p | always-false | ... })]] [(:ignore-global-control {t | nil})]) $path \rightarrow \{identifier \mid pattern\} \{.\{identifier \mid pattern\}\}^*$ pattern \rightarrow ? | * | + | ?[identifier][?]*|+] pred \rightarrow eq | subsumes | extends | ... integer -> (0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)+ string → "{ any character}"* index → integer | identifier | string

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

397

A.3 Instance Definitions

 $instance_def \rightarrow instance avm_def$. $instance \rightarrow identifier$

396

Case Study I: SProUT

- TDL meets finite state techniques
- A grammar consists of pattern/action rules:
 - LHS: a regular expression over TFSs with functional operators and coreferences, representing the recognition pattern

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- RHS: a TFS specification of the output structure
- Small grammars targeting multilingual shallow processing
 - Named entity recognition
 - Information extraction
 - Ontology extraction
 - Opinion mining from text

Case Study II: Deependance

- Extends TDL with explicit disjunctions declarations
- Allows distributive/named disjunctions to model co-variation
- Adds preference distribution over disjunctive terms
- Needs to combine with best-first/non-exhaustive processing models to work efficiently
- Asserts different processing models (more data-driven and dependency-oriented)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Questions

What's our general attitude towards variants/extensions of the formalism?

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Deeper integration of stochastic modelling
- Other applications of the TDL