Discussion: Repositioning DELPH-IN resources as annotated data for others

moderated by Michael Wayne Goodman

University of Washington

August 7, 2017

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Recall NeuGen results for AMR:datadevtestGIGA-20M33.133.8GIGA-2M31.832.3GIGA-200k27.227.4AMR-only21.722.0

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Ioannis Konstas and I experimented with MRS:

Redwoods 1214, top 1 only, using existing splits

- more gold data, but no data augmentation
- PENMAN-serialized DMRS
- NER and anonymization via Stanford NER
- compressed variable-properties

data dev test GIGA-20M 33.1 33.8

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

data	dev	test
GIGA-20M	33.1	33.8
Redwoods	63.5	60.0

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

But these results aren't directly comparable...

But these results aren't directly comparable...

- Gold corpus size (train/dev/test)
 - AMR: 16,833 / 1,368 / 1,371
 - MRS: 77,821 / 5,661 / 11,133
- ...but no data augmentation for MRS
- Compositional vs not
- Predicate/concept ontology (e.g. decompositions)
- Coreference resolution
- quantification (scope, or at all)

So pitching DELPH-IN resources as direct competitors to other systems is unhelpful...

Iots of hedges and explanations of differences

we don't do always do well on metrics not "designed for us" So pitching DELPH-IN resources as direct competitors to other systems is unhelpful...

- Iots of hedges and explanations of differences
- we don't do always do well on metrics not "designed for us"

Why not advertise DELPH-IN resources as annotated data or an annotation methodology instead of as, e.g., a parsing methodology?

- follow recent success of SDP
- e.g., the primary product (for others) is the data, not the grammars or software

Is such a shift useful? Redundant or unnecessary at this point?

What could we do the support this cause?

- package scripts for data inspection, transformation
- provide a simpler train/dev/test split
- increase compatibility with external tools (e.g., NER, tokenization)
- increase availability / decrease learning curve of data

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・