Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

References

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

August 8th, 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Last Year: ACE 0.9.23

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

References

PCFGs for ERG-1214:

http://sweaglesw.org/linguistics/csaw/download/

- --pcfg=something.pcfg command-line option
- Parse with CSAW and ERG, and some hybrid edges

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Maxent model picks winner

Last Year: ACE 0.9.23

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

References

PCFGs for ERG-1214:

http://sweaglesw.org/linguistics/csaw/download/

- --pcfg=something.pcfg command-line option
- Parse with CSAW and ERG, and some hybrid edges

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

- Maxent model picks winner
- ... evaluation soon

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

References

- ▶ ERG 1214 by itself Flickinger [2000, 2011]
- Bridging Flickinger and Packard [2015]
- Pacman
- CSAW Zhang and Krieger [2011], Zhang et al. [In prep]

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Hybrid (ace --pcfg=...)

Bridging

"Sentential" analysis = any concatenation of grammatical subanalyses.



Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

References

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Bridging

Fails badly if the ungrammaticality would be at a low level in



Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

Pacman

Nbar and Vbar can gobble up arbitrary lexical constituents without changing their own valency (Flickinger).



Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

Pacman



Fails badly if the ungrammaticality would be at a high level in the tree. $_{_{\rm VD}}$

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 - のへで -

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

CSAW / JigSaw Zhang and Krieger [2011]

Uses a PCFG; no moral objections to doing really weird stuff if necessary to maintain order elsewhere.



Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

CSAW

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

References

Two versions: different amounts of training data, different degrees of grandparenting.

	5 1 0		
System	Non-terminals	Rules	Training set
csaw-tb	236	\sim 36K	${\sim}100 {\sf K}$
csaw-ww	155042	\sim 5M	\sim 50M

Hybrid

Takes just a single tree recommendation from PCFG, and then sticks to the rules and reranks with MaxEnt.



Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

Evaluation

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

References

... is hard, because the interesting text to evaluate on is the text the grammar can't parse by itself, which means there's no gold treebank.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

 ... need to come up with some data, then use EDM Zhang et al. [In prep].

Evaluation datasets

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

dataset	items	#words #items	in 1212?	version
alchemy45	45	28.5	no parse	1212
ws13r	207	27.7	no parse	trunk
semcor	241	24.8	no gold	trunk
wsj00ab	76	25.6	no gold	trunk

Results (coverage)

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

Method	alchemy45	ws13r	semcor	wsj00ab
baseline	17.78%	61.35%	88.74%	84.21%
bridging	66.67%	74.40%	90.91%	90.79%
pacnv+ut	53.33%	54.11%	87.45%	85.53%
csaw-tb	97.78%	77.29%	98.27%	98.68%
csaw-ww	100.00%	83.09%	100.00%	100.00%
hybrid-tb	97.78%	88.41%	100.00%	98.68%
hybrid-ww	100.00%	90.82%	99.13%	100.00%

Results (time in seconds per item)

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

Method	alchemy45	ws13r	semcor	wsj00ab
baseline	44.1	31.4	7.7	10.6
bridging	41.2	48.3	22.4	21.9
pacnv+ut	29.0	89.7	16.6	27.6
csaw-tb	2.1	1.0	0.6	0.7
csaw-ww	247.0	224.3	135.8	132.2
hybrid-tb	39.2	20.4	13.4	14.1
hybrid-ww	219.2	228.2	122.0	119.8

Results (EDM F1)

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

Method	alchemy45	ws13r	semcor	wsj00ab
baseline	28.83	52.28	79.60	72.78
bridging	42.07	44.31	69.61	66.11
pacnv+ut	43.85	42.27	72.70	66.16
csaw-tb	68.51	48.87	67.81	67.26
csaw-ww	77.11	60.98	78.51	74.48
hybrid-tb	69.76	63.73	78.76	76.17
hybrid-ww	75.56	68.47	81.52	78.61

Comments

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard

References

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

- Coverage improvement can be dramatic
- csaw-tb is fast
- Accuracy costs speed
- None of the systems is incredibly accurate
- Future work: extrinsic evaluation

Thank You!

References:

- Dan Flickinger. On building a more efficient grammar by exploiting types. *Natural Language Engineering*, 6(01): 15–28, 2000.
- Dan Flickinger. Accuracy v. Robustness in grammar engineering. In Emily M. Bender and Jennifer E. Arnold, editors, Language from a Cognitive Perspective: Grammar, Usage and Processing, pages 31–50. CSLI Publications, Stanford, CA, USA, 2011.
- Dan Flickinger and Woodley Packard. Robust parsing in HPSG: Bridging the coverage chasm. In *poster presented at the 22nd International Conference on HPSG*, 2015.
- Yi Zhang and Hans-Ulrich Krieger. Large-scale corpus-driven PCFG approximation of an HPSG. In *12th International Conference on Parsing Technologies*, pages 198–208. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2011.
- Yi Zhang, Stephan Oepen, Rebecca Dridan, Dan Flickinger, and Hans-Ulrich Krieger. Robust parsing, meaning

Evaluation of Robust Parsing Methods

Woodley Packard