

Algorithms for AI and NLP (INF4820 — Welcome)

(defun ! (n) (if (equal n 0) 1 (* n (! (- n 1)))))

Stephan Oepen and Jonathon Read

Universitetet i Oslo { oe | jread }@ifi.uio.no



- INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no) -

Algorithms for AI and NLP (2)



(2001: A Space Odyssey; HAL 9000; 1968)



— INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no) —

Algorithms for AI and NLP (2)



(IBM Watson beats long-time Jeopardy! champions; 2011)



- INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no) -

Algorithms for AI and NLP (2)



 \rightarrow (young) interdisciplinary science: language, computing, cognition;

 \rightarrow (again) culturally and commercially relevant for 'knowledge society'.



— INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

What Makes Natural Language a Hard Problem?

< Den andre veien mot Bergen er kort. --- 12 x 30 x 25 = 25
> The other path towards Bergen is short. {0.58} (1:1:0).
> The other road towards Bergen is short. {0.56} (1:0:0).
> The second road towards Bergen is a card. {0.55} (2:0:0).
> That other path towards Bergen is a card. {0.54} (0:1:0).
> That other road towards Bergen is a card. {0.54} (0:0:0).
> The second path towards Bergen is short. {0.51} (2:1:0).
> The other road against Bergen is short. {0.48} (1:2:0).
> The second road against Bergen is short. {0.48} (2:2:0).
...

> Short is the other street towards Bergen. {0.33} (1:4:0).
> Short is the second street towards Bergen. {0.33} (2:4:0).



. . .

What Makes Natural Language a Hard Problem?

< Den andre veien mot Bergen er kort. --- 12 x 30 x 25 = 25
> The other path towards Bergen is short. {0.58} (1:1:0).
> The other road towards Bergen is short. {0.56} (1:0:0).
> The second road towards Bergen is short. {0.55} (2:0:0).
> That other path towards Bergen is a card. {0.54} (0:1:0).
> That other road towards Bergen is a card. {0.54} (0:0:0).
> The second path towards Bergen is short. {0.51} (2:1:0).

Scraped Off the Internet

The other way to Bergen is short. the road to the other bergen is short . Den other roads against Boron Gene are short. Other one autobahn against Mountains am abrupt.



> Th

> Th

> Sh

> Sh

. .

• •

- INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no) ----

)).

0).

L:0).

INF4820: A Very High-Level Perspective





Algorithms for AI and NLP (4)

INF4820: A Very High-Level Perspective



Efficient and Scalable Algorithms and Data Structures for Searching (Probabilistically) Weighted Solution Spaces



Algorithms for AI and NLP (4)

INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

Well, Who is Actually Working on This?

In the next three to five years, [...] mobile devices [...] will become prevalent. [...] Desired technologies will soon replace menus and graphic user interfaces with natural-language interfaces. — People so much want to speak English to their computer. (Steve Ballmer, December 2005)



Well, Who is Actually Working on This?

In the next three to five years, [...] mobile devices [...] will become prevalent. [...] Desired technologies will soon replace menus and graphic user interfaces with natural-language interfaces. — People so much want to speak English to their computer. (Steve Ballmer, December 2005)

IBM has unveiled the details of its plans to build a computing system that can understand complex questions and answer with enough precision and speed to compete on America's favorite quiz show, Jeopardy!. (IBM Press Release, April 27, 2009)



- INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no) -

Families of Language Processing Tasks

Speech Recognition and Synthesis

Summarization & Text Simplification

(High Quality) Machine Translation

Information Extraction — Text Understanding

Grammar & Controlled Language Checking

Natural Language Dialogue Systems



Families of Language Processing Tasks

Speech Recognition and Synthesis

Summarization & Text Simplification

(High Quality) Machine Translation

Information Extraction — Text Understanding

Grammar & Controlled Language Checking

Natural Language Dialogue Systems



Families of Language Processing Tasks





– INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

The Holy Grail: Balancing Robustness and Precision



System Robustness



- INF4820 - 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

Algorithms for AI and NLP (7)

The Holy Grail: Balancing Robustness and Precision





— INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

Algorithms for AI and NLP (7)

The Holy Grail: Balancing Robustness and Precision





- INF4820 - 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

Algorithms for AI and NLP (7)

INF4880 — What We Are About to Do (and Why)



—— INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no) -

Algorithms for AI and NLP (8)

Comments on Course & Background Literature



—— INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no) -

Algorithms for AI and NLP (9)

Why Common-Lisp for (Symbolic) Programming?

- Arguably most widely used language for 'symbolic' computation;
- easy to learn: extremely simple syntax; straightforward semantics;
- a rich language: multitude of built-in data types and operations;
- full standardization; Common-Lisp has been stable for a decade;
- Ruby was a Lisp originally, in theory. [Yukihiro Matsumoto; 2006];
- \rightarrow for our purposes, (at least) as good a choice as any other language.

$$n! \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n = 0\\ n \times (n-1)! & \text{for } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)



Algorithms for AI and NLP (10)

Why Common-Lisp for (Symbolic) Programming?

- Arguably most widely used language for 'symbolic' computation;
- easy to learn: extremely simple syntax; straightforward semantics;
- a rich language: multitude of built-in data types and operations;
- full standardization; Common-Lisp has been stable for a decade;
- Ruby was a Lisp originally, in theory. [Yukihiro Matsumoto; 2006];
- \rightarrow for our purposes, (at least) as good a choice as any other language.

Lisp is worth learning for the profound enlightenment experience you will have when you finally get it; that experience will make you a better programmer for the rest of your days, even if you never actually use Lisp itself a lot. [Eric Raymond, 2001]



Algorithms for AI and NLP (10)

INF4820 - 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

Common-Lisp: Syntax

- Numbers: 42, 3.1415, 1/3;
- strings: "foo", "42", "(bar)";
- symbols: pi, t, nil, foo, FoO;
- lists: (1 2 3 4 5), (), nil,

```
(defun ! (n)
  (if (equal n 0)
    1
    (* n (! (- n 1)))))
```

- Lisp manipulates *symbolic expressions* (known as 'sexps');
- sexps come in two fundamental flavours, atoms and lists;
- atoms include numbers, strings, symbols, structures, et al.;
- sexps are used to represent both program data and program code;
- rather few 'magic' characters: '(', ')', '"', ', '; ', '#', '|', '';
- all operators use *prefix* notation;
- symbol case does *not* matter.



Common-Lisp: Semantics (aka Evaluation)

- Constants (e.g. numbers and strings, t and nil) evaluate to themselves: ? $3.1415 \rightarrow 3.1415$? "foo" \rightarrow "foo" ? t \rightarrow t ? nil \rightarrow nil
- symbols evaluate to their associated value (if any):

? $pi \rightarrow 3.141592653589793$

? foo \rightarrow error (unless a value was assigned earlier)

• lists are function calls; the first element is interpreted as an operator and invoked with the *values* of all remaining elements as its arguments:

? (* pi (+ 2 2)) \rightarrow 12.566370614359172;

• the quote() operator (abbreviated as ',') suppresses evaluation:

```
? (quote (+ 2 2)) \rightarrow (+ 2 2)
? 'foo \rightarrow foo
```



INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

A Couple of List Operations

- first() and rest() destructure lists; cons() builds up new lists: ? (first '(1 2 3)) $\rightarrow 1$? (rest '(1 2 3)) \rightarrow (2 3) ? (first (rest '(1 2 3))) \rightarrow 2 ? (rest (rest (rest ' $(1 \ 2 \ 3))$) \rightarrow nil ? $(cons 0 (1 2 3))) \rightarrow (0 1 2 3)$? (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 nil))) \rightarrow (1 2 3) • many additional list operations (derivable from the above primitives): ? (list 1 2 3) \rightarrow (1 2 3) ? (append '(1 2 3) '(4 5 6)) \rightarrow (1 2 3 4 5 6) ? (length '(1 2 3)) \rightarrow 3
 - ? (reverse '(1 2 3)) \rightarrow (3 2 1)



Assigning Values — 'Generalized Variables'

• defparameter() declares a 'global variable' and assigns a value:

```
? (defparameter *foo* 42) \rightarrow *FOO*
```

```
? *foo* \rightarrow 42
```

• setf() associates ('assigns') a value to a symbol (a 'variable'):

```
? (setf *foo* (+ *foo* 1)) \rightarrow 43
? *foo* \rightarrow 43
? (setf *foo* '(1 1 3)) \rightarrow (1 1 3)
```

• setf() can also alter the values associated to 'generalized variables':

```
? (setf (first (rest *foo*)) 2) \rightarrow 2
? *foo* \rightarrow (1 2 3)
? (setf (cons 0 *foo*) 2) \rightarrow error
```



— INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

Predicates — Conditional Evaluation

• A *predicate* tests some condition and evaluates to a boolean truth value; nil indicates *false* — anything non-nil (including t) indicates *true*:

```
? (listp '(1 2 3)) \rightarrow t
```

? (null (rest '(1 2 3))) \rightarrow nil

```
? (or (not (numberp *foo*)) (and (>= *foo* 0) (< *foo* 42))) \rightarrow t
```

```
? (equal (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 nil))) '(1 2 3)) \rightarrow t
```

- ? (eq (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 nil))) '(1 2 3)) \rightarrow nil
- conditional evaluation proceeds according to a boolean truth condition:

```
? (if (numberp *foo*)
(+ *foo* 42)
(first (rest *foo*)))
\rightarrow 2
```



INF4820 — 26-AUG-11 (oe@ifi.uio.no)

Defining New Functions

• defun() associates a function definition ('body') with a symbol: (defun name (parameter₁ ... parameter_n) body)

```
? (defun ! (n)
(if (equal n 0)
1
(* n (! (- n 1)))))
\rightarrow !
? (! 0) \rightarrow 1
? (! 5) \rightarrow 120
```

- when a function is called, actual arguments (e.g. '0' and '5') are bound to the function parameter(s) (i.e. 'n') for the scope of the function body;
- a function evaluates to the value of the *last* sexp in the function *body*.

